

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
scalequalaccess@yahoo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
KEVIN COX

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KEVIN COX,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OPES INVESTMENTS, INC.; DOES 1 to
10,

Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff KEVIN COX ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants OPES
INVESTMENTS, INC.; DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

//

PARTIES

1
2 1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff is
3 diagnosed with paraplegia and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff
4 requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

5 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
6 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a butcher shop
7 (“Business”) located at or about 8322 Avalon Blvd., Los Angeles, California.

8 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
9 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
10 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
11 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
12 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and, based thereon, alleges that each such
13 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
14 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

15 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
16 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
17 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
18 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
19 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

20 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
21 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
22 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
23 and severally.

24 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

25 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
26 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
27 *seq.*)
28

1 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). Defendants
7 reside in this district, Orange County, California

8 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9 10. In or about August of 2022, Plaintiff went to the Business.

10 11. The Business is a butcher shop business establishment, which is open to the
11 public, and is a place of public accommodation and affects commerce through its
12 operation. Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

13 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
14 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
15 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

16 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
17 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 18 a. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
19 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
20 standards. Defendants failed to provide proper van accessible space
21 designated for the persons with disabilities.
- 22 b. Defendant failed to maintain the parking space designated for persons
23 with disabilities to comply with the federal and state standards.
24 Defendants failed to paint the ground as required.
- 25 c. Defendants failed to maintain the parking space designated for
26 persons with disabilities to comply with the federal and state
27 standards. Defendants failed to mark the space with the International
28 Symbol of Accessibility.

- 1 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
2 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
3 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
4 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
5 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
6 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
7 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 8 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
9 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
10 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
11 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
12 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
13 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
14 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
15 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 16 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
17 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
18 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
19 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
20 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
21 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
22 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 23 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
24 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
25 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
26 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
27 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
28 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered

1 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
2 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
3 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered
4 area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost
5 and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

6 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
7 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
8 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
9 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
10 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
11 be van parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

12 22. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
13 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
14 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
15 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
16 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
17 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
18 11B-502.3.3.

19 23. Here, Defendants failed to properly maintain the access aisles as there were
20 no “NO PARKING” and no blue lines painted on the parking surface. Moreover,
21 Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum width of 96 inches.

22 24. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
23 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
24 view of a wheel chair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36” wide
25 by 36” high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
26 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
27 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
28 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining

1 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
2 occupant. *See* CBC § 11B-502.6.4, *et seq.*

3 25. Here, Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on
4 the surface as required.

5 26. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
6 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
7 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

8 27. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by
9 Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related
10 regulations.

11 28. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to
12 Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be
13 discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks
14 injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable
15 by individuals with disabilities.

16 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT**

18 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 30. California Civil Code § 51 states, "All persons within the jurisdiction of this
21 state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry,
22 national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual
23 orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full
24 and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business
25 establishments of every kind whatsoever."

26 31. California Civil Code § 52 states, "Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial,
27 or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6, is liable
28 for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be

1 determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the
2 amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any
3 attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any
4 person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

5 32. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, "a violation of the right of any
6 individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336)
7 shall also constitute a violation of this section."

8 33. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
10 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
11 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
12 51 and 52.

13 34. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
14 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
15 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

16 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

18 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
19 paragraphs in this complaint.

20 36. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, "Individuals with disabilities shall be
21 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
22 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
23 and physicians' offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
24 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
25 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
26 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
27 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
28

1 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
2 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

3 37. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
4 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
5 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
6 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
7 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
8 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no
9 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be
10 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
11 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

12 38. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an
13 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
14 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
15 the access of any person in violation of that act.

16 39. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
17 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
18 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
19 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

20 40. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
21 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
22 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

23 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

25 41. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
26 paragraphs in this complaint.

27 42. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
28 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a “full and equal” basis unless each

1 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
2 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
3 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

4 43. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
5 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
6 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
7 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
8 require that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
9 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
10 persons with disabilities.

11 44. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
12 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
13 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
14 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
15 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
16 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
17 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
18 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

19 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 **NEGLIGENCE**

21 45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 46. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
24 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
25 to the Plaintiff.

26 47. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
27 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.
28

1 48. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff
2 has suffered damages.

3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
5 Defendants as follows:

- 6 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
7 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;
- 8 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
9 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;
- 10 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition
11 practices;
- 12 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
13 action;
- 14 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and
- 15 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

16 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

17 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
18 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

19
20 Dated: November 4, 2022

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

21
22
23 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
24 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
25 Attorneys for Plaintiff
26
27
28